

Exhibit J

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO**

In re: THE FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT AND MANAGEMENT BOARD FOR PUERTO RICO, as representative of THE COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO, <i>et al.</i> Debtors. ¹	PROMESA Title III Case No. 17 BK 3283 (LTS) (Jointly Administered)
In re: THE FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT AND MANAGEMENT BOARD FOR PUERTO RICO, as representative of PUERTO RICO ELECTRIC POWER AUTHORITY, Debtor.	PROMESA Title III Case No. 17 BK 4780 (LTS)

**AAAF'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDED
NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF PUERTO RICO FISCAL AGENCY AND FINANCIAL
ADVISORY AUTHORITY BY CORTLAND CAPITAL MARKET SERVICES LLC, AS
ADMINISTRATIVE AGENT, AND SOLUS**

¹ The Debtors in these Title III cases, along with each Debtor's respective Title III case number and the last four (4) digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, as applicable, are the (i) Commonwealth of Puerto Rico (Bankruptcy Case No. 17-BK-3283 (LTS)) (Last Four Digits of Federal Tax ID: 3481); (ii) Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corporation ("COFINA") (Bankruptcy Case No. 17-BK-3284 (LTS)) (Last Four Digits of Federal Tax ID: 8474); (iii) Puerto Rico Highways and Transportation Authority ("HTA") (Bankruptcy Case No. 17-BK-3567 (LTS)) (Last Four Digits of Federal Tax ID: 3808); (iv) Employees Retirement System of the Government of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico ("ERS") (Bankruptcy Case No. 17-BK-3566 (LTS)) (Last Four Digits of Federal Tax ID: 9686); and (v) Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority ("PREPA") (Bankruptcy Case No. 17-BK-4780 (LTS)) (Last Four Digits of Federal Tax ID: 3747) (Title III case numbers are listed as Bankruptcy Case numbers due to software limitations).

Pursuant to Rules 26 and 30(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure made applicable to this proceeding by Rules 7026, 7030, and 9014 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure pursuant to Section 310 of the Puerto Rico Oversight, Management, and Economic Stability Act (“**PROMESA**”), the Puerto Rico Fiscal Agency and Financial Advisory Authority (“AAFAF”) hereby responds and objects (the “**Responses and Objections**”) to the *First Supplemental Amended Notice of Deposition of Puerto Rico Fiscal Agency and Financial Advisory Authority by Cortland Capital Market Services LLC, as Administrative Agent, and Solus*, served July 15, 2019 (the “**Deposition Notice**,” and each individual deposition topic a “**Topic**”) for a date and time to be determined, which was served at the direction of Cortland Capital Market Services LLC, SOLA LTD, Solus Opportunities Fund 5 LP, Ultra Master LTD, and Ultra NB LLC (collectively, the “**Fuel Line Lenders**”).

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

AAFAF has not completed its formal discovery in this action. The Responses and Objections are based and will be based only upon the information that is currently available to and specifically known to AAFAF as of the date hereof. AAFAF reserves the right to amend or supplement the Responses and Objections at any time in light of future investigation, research, or analysis. AAFAF has made reasonable efforts to respond to the Deposition Notice, to the extent it has not been objected to, as AAFAF understands and interprets the Deposition Notice. If the Fuel Line Lenders subsequently assert an interpretation of the Deposition Notice that differs from that of AAFAF’s, AAFAF reserves the right to supplement the Responses and Objections.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

1. AAFAF objects to the Deposition Notice, and to each and every Topic, as unduly burdensome to the extent that they do not seek information that is relevant or reasonably

calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence relevant to the *Joint Motion of Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority and AAFAF Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Sections 362, 502, 922, and 928, and Bankruptcy Rules 3012(a)(1) and 9019 for Order Approving Settlements Embodied in the Restructuring Support Agreement and Tolling Certain Limitations Periods*, dated May 10, 2019 [ECF No. 1235] (the “**Rule 9019 Motion**”), and relevant to the *Supplemental Memorandum of Law and Facts in Support of Joint Motion of Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority and AAFAF Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Sections 362, 502, 922, and 928, and Bankruptcy Rules 3012(a)(1) and 9019 for Order Approving Settlements Embodied in the Restructuring Support Agreement and Tolling Certain Limitations Periods* [ECF No. 1425] (the “**Supplemental Memorandum**”).

2. AAFAF objects to the Deposition Notice, and to each and every Topic, to the extent they seek information that are not “relevant to any party’s claim or defense and proportional to the needs of the case.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1).

3. AAFAF objects to the Deposition Notice, and to each and every Topic, to the extent that they purport to impose burdens on AAFAF that are inconsistent with, not otherwise authorized by, or exceed those required by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as incorporated into the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, the local rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Puerto Rico, or this Court’s chambers practices and case management orders (collectively, the “**Governing Rules**”). AAFAF will construe and respond to the Deposition Notice and Topics in a manner consistent with its obligations under the Governing Rules, and not otherwise.

4. AAFAF objects to the Deposition Notice, and to each and every Topic, to the extent they seek information that have already been provided to the Fuel Line Lenders in

connection with AAFAF's *Responses and Objections to Amended Notice of Deposition of Puerto Rico Fiscal Agency and Financial Advisory Authority by Cortland Capital Market Services LLC, as Administrative Agent, and SOLUS*, served by AAFAF on the Fuel Line Lenders on July 10, 2019.

5. AAFAF objects to the Deposition Notice, and to each and every Topic, on the grounds that the Deposition Notice is untimely. The deadline for parties to serve deposition notices under the agreed litigation schedule was July 5, 2019, *see Revised Order Extending and Establishing Certain Deadlines Applicable to the Joint Motion of PREPA and AAFAF Pursuant to Bankruptcy Code Sections 362, 502, 922, and 928, and Bankruptcy Rules 3012(A)(1) and 9019 for Order Approving Settlements Embodied in the Restructuring Support Agreement*, at 2 [ECF No. 1235], but the Fuel Line Lenders did not serve the Deposition Notice on AAFAF until July 15, 2019.

6. AAFAF objects to each Definition to the extent it purports to impose burdens on AAFAF that are inconsistent with, not authorized by, or exceed those required by the Governing Rules. In responding to the Topics, and unless otherwise noted, AAFAF will construe all words in accordance with their ordinary English meaning, as informed by the Governing Rules.

7. AAFAF objects to the Deposition Notice, and to each and every Topic, to the extent they place an unreasonable burden on AAFAF, including without limitation by seeking information that is equally or more readily available from public sources or that is already available to the Fuel Line Lenders.

8. AAFAF objects to the Deposition Notice, and to each and every Topic, to the extent they expressly or impliedly seek information protected from disclosure by the Attorney-Client Privilege, the Attorney Work-Product Doctrine, the Executive and Deliberative Process

Privileges, the Common Interest Privilege, or any other applicable privileges, doctrines, or immunities protecting information from disclosure. Nothing in the Responses and Objections is intended as, nor shall in any way be deemed, a waiver of any of these privileges, doctrines, or immunities. Information protected by these privileges, doctrines, or immunities is not subject to disclosure, and AAFAF will not provide it. AAFAF intends to and does assert any and all such privileges with respect to all such information.

9. AAFAF objects to the Deposition Notice, and to each and every Topic, to the extent that it lacks the “reasonable particularity” required by Rule 30(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, so as to make it impossible to identify the limits of the inquiry, and to make the topics so overbroad as to be impossible to address by deposition testimony.

10. AAFAF objects to the Deposition Notice, and to each and every Topic, as unduly burdensome to the extent that it requests AAFAF give testimony on topics that are beyond its knowledge.

11. AAFAF objects to the Deposition Notice, and to each and every Topic, as overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent that it purports to require AAFAF to provide testimony concerning “all” or “any” information concerning a topic, so as to make it impossible to identify the limits of the inquiry, and to make the topics so overbroad as to be impossible to address by deposition testimony.

12. AAFAF objects to the Deposition Notice, and to each and every Topic, to the extent they seek information that is confidential or proprietary in nature, or otherwise constitute protected commercial, strategic, financial, or competitively sensitive or trade secret information.

13. AAFAF objects to the Deposition Notice, and to each and every Topic, to the extent that they (i) imply the existence of facts or circumstances that do not or did not exist, or

(ii) state or assume legal or factual conclusions. By providing the Responses and Objections, AAFAF does not admit any factual or legal premise in the Deposition Notice and Topics.

14. To the extent any term defined or used in the Deposition Notice is used in responding to the Topics, it is not accepted or conceded that any such term or definition is appropriate, descriptive, or accurate.

15. AAFAF objects to the Deposition Notice, and to each and every Topic, as unduly burdensome to the extent that they are cumulative or duplicative of other discovery requests served upon AAFAF or other parties.

16. AAFAF objects to the Deposition Notice, and to each and every Topic, to the extent they seek information for an undefined or unstated period of time on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous, overbroad, unduly burdensome and oppressive, and seeks information not relevant to the subject matter of the Rule 9019 Motion and Supplemental Memorandum nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

17. AAFAF objects to the definition of the terms “AAFAF,” “You,” and “Your” as overbroad because they encompass individuals and entities without regard to their connection or relevance to the Rule 9019 Motion and Supplemental Memorandum. In responding to the Topics, AAFAF will construe “AAFAF,” “You,” and “Your” to mean AAFAF and its members and employees reasonably likely to possess information relevant to the Rule 9019 Motion and Supplemental Memorandum.

18. AAFAF objects to the definition of the term “PREPA” as overbroad because it includes individuals and entities without regard to their connection or relevance to the Motion. In responding to these Requests, AAFAF will construe “PREPA” to mean PREPA and its members and employees reasonably likely to possess information relevant to the Rule 9019

Motion and Supplemental Memorandum.

19. AAFAF objects to the definition of the term “All” and “all,” in that the definition robs the noticed Topics of any semblance of the “reasonable particularity” required by Rule 30(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, thereby making it impossible to identify the limits of the inquiry, and renders the topics so overbroad as to be impossible to address by deposition testimony.

20. AAFAF objects to the definition of the term “Assured” as overbroad because it includes individuals and entities without regard to their connection or relevance to the Rule 9019 Motion and Supplemental Memorandum. In responding to these Requests, AAFAF will construe “Assured” to mean Assured and its members and employees reasonably likely to possess information relevant to the Rule 9019 Motion and Supplemental Memorandum.

21. AAFAF objects to the definition of the term “Communication” to the extent it seeks to impose burdens on AAFAF that differ from or exceed those imposed by the Governing Rules.

22. AAFAF objects to the definition of the term “Document” to the extent it seeks to impose burdens on AAFAF that differ from or exceed those imposed by the Governing Rules.

23. AAFAF objects to the definition of the term “National” as overbroad because it includes individuals and entities without regard to their connection or relevance to the Rule 9019 Motion and Supplemental Memorandum. In responding to these Requests, AAFAF will construe “National” to mean National and its members and employees reasonably likely to possess information relevant to the Rule 9019 Motion and Supplemental Memorandum.

24. AAFAF objects to the definition of the term “Oversight Board” as overbroad because it includes individuals and entities without regard to their connection or relevance to the

Rule 9019 Motion and Supplemental Memorandum. In responding to these Requests, AAFAF will construe “Oversight Board” to mean the Financial Oversight and Management Board for Puerto Rico and its members and employees reasonably likely to possess information relevant to the Rule 9019 Motion and Supplemental Memorandum.

25. AAFAF objects to the definitions of “Relate to,” “relate to,” and “relating to” to the extent they are overly broad, vague, and ambiguous.

26. AAFAF objects to the definition of the term “Syncora” as overbroad because it includes individuals and entities without regard to their connection or relevance to the Rule 9019 Motion and Supplemental Memorandum. In responding to these Requests, AAFAF will construe “Syncora” to mean Syncora and its members and employees reasonably likely to possess information relevant to the Rule 9019 Motion and Supplemental Memorandum.

27. AAFAF objects to the definition of the term “U.S. Bank” as overbroad because it includes individuals and entities without regard to their connection or relevance to the Rule 9019 Motion and Supplemental Memorandum. In responding to these Requests, AAFAF will construe “U.S. Bank” to mean U.S. Bank and its members and employees reasonably likely to possess information relevant to the Rule 9019 Motion and Supplemental Memorandum.

28. AAFAF objects to the instruction that “[t]he name of any person or professional firm refers also to that person or professional firm’s successors, predecessors, subsidiaries, parents, affiliates, former or present agents, representatives, employees or professionals, and all other persons or entities acting or purporting to act on any of their behalf” as overbroad because it includes individuals and entities without regard to their connection or relevance to the Rule 9019 Motion and Supplemental Memorandum. In responding to these Requests, AAFAF will construe the name of any person or professional firm to mean the referenced person or

professional firm and their members and employees reasonably likely to possess information relevant to the Rule 9019 Motion and Supplemental Memorandum.

29. The Responses and Objections are made without waiving, or intending to waive, but, on the contrary, expressly reserving: (a) the right to object on the grounds of competency, privilege, relevancy, materiality, admissibility as evidence for any purpose, or any other proper grounds, to the use of any information obtained hereunder or the subject matter thereof, in whole or in part, at any trial or hearing related in the above-captioned case or in any related or subsequent action or proceeding; (b) the right to object on any and all grounds, at any time, to other discovery requests or procedures involving or relating to the subject matter of the Topics or otherwise; and (c) the right at any time to revise, amend, correct, supplement, or clarify the Responses and Objections.

30. The Responses and Objections should not be construed as: (a) an admission as to the propriety of any Topic; (b) an agreement as to erroneous assumptions or incorrect factual predicates contained in any Topic; (c) an acknowledgement that information responsive to any Topic exists; (d) a waiver of the general objections or the objections asserted in response to specific Topics; (e) an admission as to the relevance or admissibility into evidence of any information; (f) an admission, concession, or waiver as to the validity of any claim or defense asserted in this litigation; or (g) an agreement that requests for similar information will be treated in a similar manner. Nothing in the Responses and Objections is intended to waive, and AAFAF expressly reserves, the right to file a motion to quash the Topics and/or to seek a protective order.

31. The above general objections are incorporated into each of the following specific objections.

SPECIFIC RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO DEPOSITION TOPICS

DEPOSITION TOPIC NO. 1:

The Documents produced in response to the *Second Set of Supplemental Document Requests of Cortland Capital Market Services LLC, as Administrative Agent, and Solus to Financial Oversight and Management Board for Puerto Rico, the Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, and the Puerto Rico Fiscal Agency and Financial Authority* either (i) by AAFAF or (ii) on AAFAF's behalf.

RESPONSE TO DEPOSITION TOPIC NO. 1:

In addition to its general objections, AAFAF objects to Topic No. 1 to the extent it seeks information protected by the Attorney-Client Privilege, Attorney Work-Product Doctrine, the Executive and Deliberative Process Privileges, the Common Interest Privilege, or any other applicable privileges, doctrines, or immunities protecting information from disclosure. AAFAF also objects to this Topic as vague and ambiguous; it is not clear what testimony this Topic is seeking beyond that requested in Topic No. 2. AAFAF is willing to meet and confer regarding the scope of this Topic.

DEPOSITION TOPIC NO. 2:

The Rate Motion, including: (i) the timing of the filing, (ii) the relief sought in the Rate Motion, and (iii) Communications by AAFAF regarding this Examination Topic.

RESPONSE TO DEPOSITION TOPIC NO. 2:

In addition to its General Objections, AAFAF objects to Topic No. 2 to the extent it seeks information protected by the Attorney-Client Privilege, Attorney Work-Product Doctrine, the Executive and Deliberative Process Privileges, the Common Interest Privilege, or any other applicable privileges, doctrines, or immunities protecting information from disclosure, particularly with respect to subtopic (iii). AAFAF further objects to this Topic on the ground that it does not seek information that is relevant or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence relevant to the Rule 9019 Motion and Supplemental Memorandum. *See Transcript at 6-*

7, *In re Fin. Oversight & Mgmt. Bd. for P.R.*, Case No. 17-04780-LTS (D.P.R. July 11, 2019) (“[T]he government parties now seek Court approval only of certain RSA provisions that would (i) allow the asserted secured claims of the PREPA bondholders who are parties to the RSA . . . in discounted amounts; (ii) allow the accrual of certain administrative claims; (iii) allow certain settlement and adequate protection payments prior to plan confirmation; (iv) exculpate the supporting holders and the bond trustee for acts and omissions in furtherance of the RSA; (v) require the supporting holders to vote in favor of a plan consistent with the RSA; and (vi) dismiss the receiver motion as to the settling movants. The Court is no longer being asked to approve RSA provisions that would, for example, implement rate increases, impose the settlement charge or transition charge, or implement demand protections or securitization protections. Furthermore, the government parties are not asking that the Court determine whether the treatment of disputed secured claims proposed in the RSA would be confirmable or not confirmable in the context of PREPA's eventual plan of adjustment.”). AAFAF further objects to this Topic on the grounds that it seeks testimony that is evident from the face of the Rate Motion and the face of the RSA, particularly topics (i) and (ii), which are publicly available on the public docket maintained on the official website of the Puerto Rico Energy Bureau (“PREB”) at <http://energia.pr.gov/en/dockets/?docket=CEPR-ap-2015-0001> (last accessed July 19, 2019), and on PREPA’s website at [https://aeepr.com/es-pr/QuienesSomos/Ley17/RSA%20-%20Public%20\(May%203rd%202019\).pdf](https://aeepr.com/es-pr/QuienesSomos/Ley17/RSA%20-%20Public%20(May%203rd%202019).pdf) (last accessed July 19, 2019), respectively. AAFAF is willing to meet and confer with the Fuel Line Lenders regarding this Topic.

Dated: July 19, 2019
San Juan, Puerto Rico

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Elizabeth L. McKeen
John J. Rapisardi
Nancy A. Mitchell
Peter Friedman
(Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
7 Times Square
New York, NY 10036
Tel: (212) 326-2000
Fax: (212) 326-2061

Elizabeth L. McKeen
Ashley M. Pavel
(Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
610 Newport Center Drive, 17th Floor
Newport Beach, CA 92660
Tel: +1-949-823-6900
Fax: +1-949-823-6994

*Attorneys for Puerto Rico Fiscal Agency and
Financial Advisory Authority*

I hereby certify that, on this date, I caused copies of the foregoing document to be served on the following counsel of record for the Potential Objectors.

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP

Robert Berezin, Esq.
Marcia Goldstein, Esq.
Jonathan Polkes, Esq.
Gregory Silbert, Esq.
767 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10153
marcia.goldstein@weil.com
jonathan.polkes@weil.com
gregory.silbert@weil.com
robert.berezin@weil.com

*Counsel for National Public Finance
Guarantee Corporation*

PAUL HASTINGS LLP

Luc A. Despins, Esq.
Andrew V. Tenzer, Esq.
James R. Bliss, Esq.
James B. Worthington, Esq.
Michael E. Comerford, Esq.
G. Alexander Bongartz, Esq.
Nicholas Bassett, Esq.
875 15th Street NW
Washington, DC 20005
lucdespins@paulhastings.com
andrewtenzer@paulhastings.com
jamesbliss@paulhastings.com
jamesworthington@paulhastings.com
michaelcomerford@paulhastings.com
alexbongartz@paulhastings.com
nicholasbassett@paulhastings.com

*Counsel for the Official Committee of
Unsecured Creditors*

DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP

My Chi To, Esq.
Craig A. Bruens, Esq.
Elie J. Worenklein, Esq.
919 Third Avenue
New York, NY 10022
mcto@ debevoise.com
cabruens@ debevoise.com
eworenklein@debevoise.com

Counsel for Syncora Guarantee, Inc.

WACHTELL, LIPTON, ROSEN & KATZ LLP

Richard G. Mason, Esq.
Amy R. Wolf, Esq.
Emil A. Kleinhaus, Esq.
Angela K. Herring, Esq.
51 West 52nd Street
New York NY 10019
rgmason@wlrk.com
arwolf@wlrk.com
eakleinhaus@wlrk.com
akherring@wlrk.com

*Counsel for Cortland Capital Market Services
LLC, as Administrative Agent*

SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT LLP

Sandy Qusba, Esq.
Bryce L. Friedman, Esq.
Nicholas Baker, Esq.
Edward R. Linden, Esq.
425 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10017
squsba@stblaw.com
bfriedman@stblaw.com
nbaker@stblaw.com
edward.linden@stblaw.com

Counsel for Solus Alternative Asset Management LP

BUFETE EMMANUELLI, C.S.P.

Jessica E. Méndez Colberg, Esq.
Rolando Emmanuelli Jiménez, Esq.
Urb. Constancia
2803 Calle San Francisco
Ponce PR 00717
jessica@bufete-emmanuelli.com
remmanuelli@me.com

Counsel for Unión de Trabajadores de la Industria Eléctrica y Riego, Inc. ("UTIER")

Further, I hereby certify that, on this date, I caused copies of the foregoing document to be served on the following counsel of record for other parties-in-interest to the 9019 Motion.

PROSKAUER ROSE, LLP

Martin J. Bienenstock, Esq.
Ehud Barak, Esq.
Margaret A. Dale, Esq.
Gregg M. Mashberg, Esq.
Michael T. Mervis, Esq.
Elisa Cariño, Esq.
Jennifer L. Jones, Esq.
Laura Stafford, Esq.
Lucy Wolf, Esq.
Eleven Times Square
New York, NY 10036-8299
Tel: (212) 969-3000
Fax: (212) 969-2900
mbienenstock@proskauer.com
ebarak@proskauer.com
mdale@proskauer.com
gmarshberg@proskauer.com
mmervis@proskauer.com
ecarino@proskauer.com
jljones@proskauer.com
lstafford@proskauer.com
lwolf@proskauer.com
Paul V. Possinger, Esq.
Brandon C. Clark, Esq.
70 W. Madison St., Suite 3800
Chicago, IL 60602
Tel: (312) 962-3550
Fax: (312) 962-3551
ppossinger@proskauer.com
bclark@proskauer.com

DEL VALLE EMMANUELLI LAW OFFICES

Luis F. del Valle Emmanuelli, Esq.
P.O. Box 79897
Carolina, Puerto Rico 00984-9897
devlawoffices@gmail.com

*Attorneys for The Financial Oversight and
Management Board for Puerto Rico, as
representative of The Commonwealth of
Puerto Rico and the Puerto Rico Electric
Power Authority*

CADWALADER, WICKERSHAM & TAFT LLP

William J. Natbony, Esq.
Ellen Halstead, Esq.
200 Liberty Street
New York, NY 10281
Bill.Natbony@cwt.com
Ellen.Halstead@cwt.com

*Counsel for Assured Guaranty Corp., and
Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.*

KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP

Amy Caton, Esq.
Thomas Moers Mayer, Esq.
Alice J. Byowitz
1177 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036
Tel.: (212) 715-9100
Fax: (212) 715-8000
acaton@kramerlevin.com
tmayer@kramerlevin.com
abyowitz@kramerlevin.com

-and-

TORO, COLON, MULLET, RIVERA & SIFRE,
P.S.C.

Manuel Fernandez-Bared, Esq.
Linette Figuerosa-Torres, Esq.
Nadya Pérez-Román, Esq.
Jane Patricia Van Kirk, Esq.
PO Box 195383
San Juan, PR 00919-5383
mfb@tcmrslaw.com
lft@tcmrslaw.com
nperez@tcmrslaw.com
jvankirk@tcmrslaw.com

*Counsel for the Ad Hoc Group of PREPA
Bondholders*

Date: July 19, 2019

/s/ Elizabeth L. McKeen

Elizabeth L. McKeen (*admitted pro hac vice*)